



TEACH AND TRAIN—Junior

Rubric



TEACH AND TRAIN

Name(s) of Participant(s) _____

State _____ Team # _____ Group # _____

Category _____

PORTFOLIO							Points
FCCLA Planning Process Summary Page 0–5 points	0 Planning Process summary not provided	1 Inadequate steps in the Planning Process are presented	2 All Planning Process steps are presented but not summarized	3 All Planning Process steps are summarized	4 Evidence that the Planning Process was utilized to plan project	5 The Planning Process is used to plan the project. Each step is fully explained	
Career Exploration 0–5 points	0 Not included	1 Research is not current, and missing more than 3 topics	2 Research is current but from unreliable sources, missing 1–2 required topics	3 Research is current but only partially describes job or other topics	4 Research is current, appropriate for topic; from reliable sources	5 Research is current, documented correctly, and includes all six required topics	
Self Assessment 0–5 points	0 Not included	1 Missing analysis of learning or thinking styles, personality traits analysis, and no career value stated	2 Covers areas of self assessment with limited information and detail	3 Adequately addresses all areas of self assessment	4 Examined personal interests in detail, and states career value, and analyzed styles	5 Examined personal interests in detail, clearly states career value, and analyzed styles	
<i>Lesson/Workshop Plan:</i> Planning 0–5 points	0 Shows no evidence of advance planning	1 Plan shows some consideration for audience members	2 Plan includes a rationale and shows an adequate understanding of the audience	3 Plan includes a rationale, uses predictable teaching methods, and shows understanding of the audience	4 Plan includes a thoughtful rationale and predictable teaching methods, shows understanding of audience, and indicates a connection to curriculum	5 Plan includes a thoughtful rationale and varied teaching methods, shows understanding of the audience, and completely connects the lesson to curriculum, describes desired outcomes	
<i>Lesson/Workshop Plan:</i> Organization 0–5 points	0 Shows no organization	1 Plan does not include an introduction and/or conclusion and content is difficult to follow	2 Plan includes an adequate introduction and conclusion with unorganized content	3 Plan includes a solid introduction and conclusion, but the content is somewhat unorganized. Includes some effort to include technology	4 Plan follows a logical organization with a creative and effective introduction and conclusion. Includes an effective use of technology	5 Plan includes a creative, attention getting introduction, excellently placed content and activities, and a solid, effective conclusion. The shows superb effort to maintain audience attention and produce a high level of learning. Includes an effective use of technology	
<i>Lesson/Workshop Plan:</i> Activity 0–5 points	0 No activity included	1 Activity plans are missing logistical and resource information. The activity is not creative or interesting and does not relate well to lesson/workshop	2 Activity plans include some logistical and resource information. The activity is not creative and does not tie to the lesson/workshop well	3 Activity plans include adequate logistical and resource information. Activity is interesting	4 Activity plans include excellent logistical and resource information. The activity(s) is interesting and beneficial to most audience members	5 Activity plans include logistical information and an extensive list of resources. Activity(s) is creative, interesting and enhances the quality of the lesson. All audience members would benefit from the activity	
<i>Lesson/Workshop Plan:</i> Follow Up 0–5 points	0 No follow up was done	1 Outcomes are inadequately stated. No evaluation was used	2 Outcomes are inadequately stated. An evaluation method was used, but results are not presented	3 Outcomes are measurable and complete. A single evaluation method was used and results are explained	4 Outcomes are measurable, complete and thoughtfully done. Multiple evaluation methods were used and results are explained well	5 Outcomes are measurable, complete and thoughtfully done. Multiple evaluation methods were used and results are explained well. Plans to improve the lesson/workshop are included	

Teach and Train—Junior Rubric (continued)

Points

Evidence of Prior Presentation 0–5 points	0 No prior presentation done	1 Participant indicates that the lesson/workshop plan was presented but shows no evidence of the prior presentation	2 Minimal evidence of prior presentation of the lesson/workshop plan	3 Extensive evidence of prior presentation of the lesson/workshop plan	4 Extensive evidence of prior presentation of the lesson/workshop plan. Some outcomes are listed	5 Extensive evidence of prior presentation of the lesson/workshop plan. Outcomes are listed and methods of improvement are indicated	
Evidence of Technology Used 0–5 points	0 No technology used in lesson/workshop planning or execution	1 Technology used to develop or execute lesson/workshop not explained	2 Technology used to develop or execute lesson/workshop but not explained in portfolio	3 Technology and techniques used to develop or execute lesson/workshop are explained	4 Technology used to develop or execute lesson/workshop was explained thoroughly	5 Technology used to develop or execute lesson/workshop was explained thoroughly and materials were developed to document project	
Works Cited/Bibliography 0–3 points	0 No resources listed	1 Incomplete list of resources/resources listed are not current or appropriate for project	2 Complete list of resources but inconsistent format	3 Complete alphabetical list of appropriate resources, in a consistent format			
Appearance 0–3 points	0 Portfolio is illegible and unorganized	1 Portfolio is neat, but contains grammatical or spelling errors and is organized poorly	2 Portfolio is neat, legible, and professional, with correct grammar and spelling	3 Neat and professional, correct grammar and spelling used; effective organization			

TEACH AND TRAIN

ORAL PRESENTATION							Points
Organization/Delivery 0–10 points	0 Presentation is not done or speaks briefly and does not cover components of the project	1–2 Presentation covers some topic elements	3–4 Presentation covers all topic elements but with minimal information	5–6 Presentation gives complete information but does not explain the project well	7–8 Presentation covers information completely but does not flow well	9–10 Presentation covers all relevant information with a seamless and logical delivery	
Knowledge of Subject Matter 0–5 points	0 Little or no evidence of knowledge	1 Minimal evidence of knowledge	2 Some evidence of knowledge	3 Knowledge of subject matter is evident but not shared in presentation	4 Knowledge of subject matter is evident and shared at times in presentation	5 Knowledge of subject matter is evident and incorporated throughout the presentation	
Relationship of Family and Consumer Sciences Coursework 0–5 points	0 No evidence of relationship to FACS	1 Minimal evidence of FACS knowledge and coursework	2 Some evidence of FACS knowledge and coursework	3 FACS knowledge and coursework is evident but not shared	4 Coursework and knowledge of the relationship to FACS is evident and shared	5 Coursework and knowledge of FACS relationship is evident and explained well	
Use of Portfolio and Visuals during Presentation 0–5 points	0 Portfolio and visuals are not used during presentation	1 Portfolio and visuals used to limit amount of speaking time	2 Portfolio and visuals used minimally during presentation	3 Portfolio and visuals incorporated throughout presentation	4 Portfolio and visuals used effectively throughout presentation	5 Presentation moves seamlessly between oral presentation, portfolio, and visuals	
Voice—pitch, tempo, volume 0–3 points	0 No voice qualities are used effectively	1 Voice quality is adequate	2 Voice quality is good, but could improve	3 Voice quality is outstanding and pleasing to listen to			
Body Language/Clothing Choice 0–3 points	0 Body language shows nervousness and unease/inappropriate clothing	1 Body language shows minimal amount of nervousness/clothing is appropriate	2 Body language is good and clothing is professional	3 Body language and clothing choice both enhance the presentation			
Grammar/Word Usage/Pronunciation 0–3 points	0 Extensive (more than 5) grammatical and pronunciation errors	1 Some (3–5) grammatical and pronunciation errors	2 Few (1–2) grammatical and pronunciation errors	3 Presentation has no grammatical or pronunciation errors			
Responses to Evaluators' Questions 0–5 points	0 Did not answer evaluators' questions	1 Unable to answer some questions	2 Responded to all questions, but without ease or accuracy	3 Responded adequately to all questions	4 Gave appropriate responses to evaluators' questions	5 Responses to questions were appropriate and given without hesitation	

Evaluator's Comments:

TOTAL
(90 points possible)

Name(s) of Participant(s) _____

State _____ Team # _____ Group # _____

Category _____

PORTFOLIO							Points
FCCLA Planning Process Summary Page 0–5 points	0 Planning Process summary not provided	1 Inadequate steps in the Planning Process are presented	2 All Planning Process steps are presented but not summarized	3 All Planning Process steps are summarized	4 Evidence that the Planning Process was utilized to plan project	5 The Planning Process is used to plan the project. Each step is fully explained	
Career Exploration 0–5 points	0 Not included	1 Research is not current, and missing more than 3 topics	2 Research is current but from unreliable sources, missing 1–2 required topics	3 Research is current but only partially describes job or other topics	4 Research is current, appropriate for topic; from reliable sources	5 Research is current, documented correctly, and includes all six required topics	
Self Assessment 0–5 points	0 Not included	1 Missing analysis of learning or thinking styles, personality traits analysis, and no career value stated	2 Covers areas of self assessment with limited information and detail	3 Adequately addresses all areas of self assessment	4 Examined personal interests in detail, and states career value, and analyzed styles	5 Examined personal interests in detail, clearly states career value, and analyzed styles	
<i>Lesson/Workshop Plan:</i> Planning 0–5 points	0 Shows no evidence of advance planning	1 Plan shows some consideration for audience members	2 Plan includes a rationale and shows an adequate understanding of the audience	3 Plan includes a rationale, uses predictable teaching methods, and shows understanding of the audience	4 Plan includes a thoughtful rationale and predictable teaching methods, shows understanding of audience, and indicates a connection to curriculum	5 Plan includes a thoughtful rationale and varied teaching methods, shows understanding of the audience, and completely connects the lesson to curriculum, describes desired outcomes	
<i>Lesson/Workshop Plan:</i> Organization 0–5 points	0 Shows no organization	1 Plan does not include an introduction and/or conclusion and content is difficult to follow	2 Plan includes an adequate introduction and conclusion with unorganized content	3 Plan includes a solid introduction and conclusion, but the content is somewhat unorganized. Includes some effort to include technology	4 Plan follows a logical organization with a creative and effective introduction and conclusion. Includes an effective use of technology	5 Plan includes a creative, attention getting introduction, excellently placed content and activities, and a solid, effective conclusion. The shows superb effort to maintain audience attention and produce a high level of learning. Includes an effective use of technology	
<i>Lesson/Workshop Plan:</i> Activity 0–5 points	0 No activity included	1 Activity plans are missing logistical and resource information. The activity is not creative or interesting and does not relate well to lesson/workshop	2 Activity plans include some logistical and resource information. The activity is not creative and does not tie to the lesson/workshop well	3 Activity plans include adequate logistical and resource information. Activity is interesting.	4 Activity plans include excellent logistical and resource information. The activity(s) is interesting and beneficial to most audience members	5 Activity plans include logistical information and an extensive list of resources. Activity(s) is creative, interesting and enhances the quality of the lesson. All audience members would benefit from the activity	
<i>Lesson/Workshop Plan:</i> Follow Up 0–5 points	0 No follow up was done	1 Outcomes are inadequately stated. No evaluation was used	2 Outcomes are inadequately stated. An evaluation method was used, but results are not presented	3 Outcomes are measurable and complete. A single evaluation method was used and results are explained	4 Outcomes are measurable, complete and thoughtfully done. Multiple evaluation methods were used and results are explained well	5 Outcomes are measurable, complete and thoughtfully done. Multiple evaluation methods were used and results are explained well. Plans to improve the lesson/workshop are included	

Teach and Train—Senior and Occupational Rubric (continued)

Points

TEACH AND TRAIN

Shadowing Experience 0–5 points	0 No shadowing experience done	1 Shadowing experience was done without a best practices educator	2 Shadowing experience done with a best practices educator but is documented minimally	3 Shadowing experience with a best practices educator is documented extensively	4 Shadowing experience with a best practices educator is documented extensively. Participant indicates the effect of the experience on their future career plans	5 Shadowing experience with a best practices educator is documented extensively. Participant indicates the effect of the experience on their future career plans. The lesson/workshop plan was presented by the participant during their shadowing experience	
Evidence of Technology Used 0–5 points	0 No technology used in lesson/workshop planning or execution	1 Technology used to develop or execute lesson/workshop not explained	2 Technology used to develop or execute lesson/workshop but not explained in portfolio	3 Technology and techniques used to develop or execute lesson/workshop are explained	4 Technology used to develop or execute lesson/workshop was explained thoroughly	5 Technology used to develop or execute lesson/workshop was explained thoroughly and materials were developed to document project	
Works Cited/Bibliography 0–3 points	0 No resources listed	1 Incomplete list of resources/resources listed are not current or appropriate for project	2 Complete list of resources but inconsistent format	3 Complete alphabetical list of appropriate resources, in a consistent format			
Appearance 0–3 points	0 Portfolio is illegible and unorganized	1 Portfolio is neat, but contains grammatical or spelling errors and is organized poorly	2 Portfolio is neat, legible, and professional, with correct grammar and spelling	3 Neat and professional, correct grammar and spelling used; effective organization			

ORAL PRESENTATION

Organization/Delivery 0–5 points	0 Presentation is not done or speaks briefly and does not cover components of the project	1–2 Presentation covers some topic elements	3–4 Presentation covers all topic elements but with minimal information	5–6 Presentation gives complete information but does not explain the project well	7–8 Presentation covers information completely but does not flow well	9–10 Presentation covers all relevant information with a seamless and logical delivery	
Knowledge of Subject Matter 0–5 points	0 Little or no evidence of knowledge	1 Minimal evidence of knowledge	2 Some evidence of knowledge	3 Knowledge of subject matter is evident but not shared in presentation	4 Knowledge of subject matter is evident and shared at times in presentation	5 Knowledge of subject matter is evident and incorporated throughout the presentation	
Relationship of Family and Consumer Sciences Coursework 0–5 points	0 No evidence of relationship to FACS	1 Minimal evidence of FACS knowledge and coursework	2 Some evidence of FACS knowledge and coursework	3 FACS knowledge and coursework is evident but not shared	4 Coursework and knowledge of the relationship to FACS is evident and shared	5 Coursework and knowledge of FACS relationship is evident and explained well	
Use of Portfolio and Visuals during Presentation 0–5 points	0 Portfolio and visuals are not used during presentation	1 Portfolio and visuals used to limit amount of speaking time	2 Portfolio and visuals used minimally during presentation	3 Portfolio and visuals incorporated throughout presentation	4 Portfolio and visuals used effectively throughout presentation	5 Presentation moves seamlessly between oral presentation, portfolio, and visuals	
Voice—pitch, tempo, volume 0–3 points	0 No voice qualities are used effectively	1 Voice quality is adequate	2 Voice quality is good, but could improve	3 Voice quality is outstanding and pleasing to listen to			
Body Language/Clothing Choice 0–3 points	0 Body language shows nervousness and unease/inappropriate clothing	1 Body language shows minimal amount of nervousness/clothing is appropriate	2 Body language is good and clothing is professional	3 Body language and clothing choice both enhance the presentation			
Grammar/Word Usage/Pronunciation 0–3 points	0 Extensive (more than 5) grammatical and pronunciation errors	1 Some (3–5) grammatical and pronunciation errors	2 Few (1–2) grammatical and pronunciation errors	3 Presentation has no grammatical or pronunciation errors			
Responses to Evaluators' Questions 0–5 points	0 Did not answer evaluators' questions	1 Unable to answer some questions	2 Responded to all questions, but without ease or accuracy	3 Responded adequately to all questions	4 Gave appropriate responses to evaluators' questions	5 Responses to questions were appropriate and given without hesitation	

Evaluator's Comments:

TOTAL
(90 points possible)