

RECYCLE AND REDESIGN

Rubric

Name(s) of Participant(s) _____

State _____ Team # _____ Group # _____

Category _____

DISPLAY							Points
FCCLA Planning Process Summary Page 0–5 points	0 Planning Process summary not provided	1 Inadequate steps in the Planning Process are presented	2 All Planning Process steps are presented but not summarized	3 All Planning Process steps are summarized	4 Evidence that the Planning Process was utilized to plan project	5 The Planning Process is used to plan the project. Each step is fully explained	
Selection of Accessories/Coordinates 0–3 points	0 None used	1 Not enough accessories/coordinates used and accessories/coordinates detract from display	2 Somewhat complementary	3 Well chosen, very complementary			
Material Profile 0–3 points	0 No information provided	1 Information inadequate	2 Most information provided	3 Very thorough information			
Cost Itemization 0–3 points	0 No cost itemization	1 Many omissions in itemization and errors in calculation	2 Most items shown and only one or two errors in calculations	3 Completely accurate and thorough			
Time Log 0–1 points	0 No time log provided		1 Time log provided				
Photo Storyboard 0–3 points	0 No storyboard in project	1 Limited photographs and confusing arrangement	2 Adequate photographs arranged in a clear and logical format	3 Appropriate number of photographs, arranged well to tell a story			
Display 0–5 points	0 Display not used during presentation	1 Display has many errors, does not show evidence of originality and is not aesthetically pleasing	2 Display has minimal visual appeal and lacks originality	3 Display needs some improvement in content and design	4 Display has good word, color, and design choice	5 Display is creative, appropriate, and of high quality	
ORAL PRESENTATION							
Organization/Delivery 0–10 points	0 Presentation is not done or speaks briefly and does not cover components of the project	1–2 Presentation covers some topic elements	3–4 Presentation covers all topic elements but with minimal information	5–6 Presentation gives complete information but does not explain the project well	7–8 Presentation covers information completely but does not flow well	9–10 Presentation covers all relevant information with a seamless and logical delivery	
Knowledge of Recycling 0–5 points	0 Little or no evidence of knowledge	1 Minimal evidence of knowledge	2 Some evidence of knowledge	3 Knowledge of recycling is evident but not shared in presentation	4 Knowledge of recycling is evident and shared at times in the presentation	5 Knowledge of recycling is evident and incorporated throughout the presentation	
Use of Display During Presentation 0–5 points	0 Display is not used during presentation	1 Display is used to limit amount of speaking time	2 Display is used minimally during presentation	3 Display is incorporated throughout presentation	4 Display is used effectively throughout presentation	5 Presentation moves seamlessly between oral presentation and display	
Voice—pitch tempo, volume 0–3 points	0 No voice qualities are used effectively	1 Voice quality is adequate	2 Voice quality is good, but could improve	3 Voice quality is outstanding and pleasing to listen to			
Body Language/Clothing Choice 0–3 points	0 Body language shows nervousness and unease/ inappropriate clothing	1 Body language shows minimal amount of nervousness/ clothing is appropriate	2 Body language is good and clothing is professional	3 Body language and clothing choice both enhance the presentation			

Recycle and Redesign Rubric (continued)

						Points
Grammar/ Word Usage/ Pronunciation 0–3 points	0 Extensive (more than 5) grammatical and pronunciation errors	1 Some (3–5) grammatical and pronunciation errors	2 Few (1–2) grammatical and pronunciation errors	3 Presentation has no grammatical or pronunciation errors		
Responses to Evaluators' Questions 0–5 points	0 Did not answer evaluators' questions	1 Unable to answer some questions	2 Responded to all questions, but without ease or accuracy	3 Responded adequately to all questions	4 Gave appropriate responses to evaluators' questions	5 Responses to questions were appropriate and given without hesitation
DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION SKILLS						Points
Effectiveness of Product Design 0–3 points	0 Both form and function need improvement	1 Satisfactory form <i>OR</i> function but not both	2 Good form <i>AND</i> function	3 Outstanding form <i>AND</i> function		
Overall Quality of Workmanship 0–3 points	0 Low quality, not marketable	1 Marginal quality of workmanship	2 Fair quality, somewhat marketable	3 Very good quality, marketable		
Creativity, Imagination, and Innovation 0–3 points	0 No evidence	1 Little evidence	2 Some evidence	3 Highly creative, innovative		
Selected Skill Areas 0–24 points	See separate <i>Recycle and Redesign Skill Area Rubric</i> for additional criteria ratings. Transfer total points earned for 8 selected skill areas to the "Points" column at right.					

RECYCLE AND REDESIGN

Evaluator's Comments:

TOTAL
(90 points possible)