



APPLIED TECHNOLOGY Rubric



Name(s) of Participant(s) _____

State _____ Team # _____ Group # _____

Category _____

PORTFOLIO							Points
FCCLA Planning Process Summary Page 0–5 points	0 Planning Process summary not provided	1 Inadequate steps in the Planning Process are presented	2 All Planning Process steps are presented but not summarized	3 All Planning Process steps are summarized	4 Evidence that the Planning Process was utilized to plan project	5 The Planning Process is used to plan the project. Each step is fully explained	
Relationship to Family and Consumer Sciences and/or related occupations 0–10 points	0 Not included	1–2 Vaguely referred to	3–4 Explained, but done so poorly	5–6 Explained fully	7–8 Explained fully with some understanding of the content area	9–10 Explained fully with evidence of mastery of the content area	
Evidence of Research 0–10 points	0 Not explained	1–2 Some research done but incomplete information	3–4 Research is current but from unreliable sources	5–6 Research is current but only partially describes job description	7–8 Research is current, appropriate for topic; from reliable sources	9–10 Research is current, documented correctly and appropriate for topic	
Evidence of Problem-Solving Skills 0–10 points	0 Not explained	1–2 Problem explained but no solutions given	3–4 Problem explained and alternative solutions are given	5–6 Problem explained, alternative solutions given and consequences shared	7–8 Problem explained, alternative solutions given, justifiable final solution	9–10 Problem explained, alternative solutions given, justifiable final solution, and evaluation given	
Evidence of Applied Academics 0–5 points	0 None explained	1 Academic content is mentioned	2 Academic content is briefly summarized	3 Academic content is explained fully	4 Academic content is explained fully with brief mention of integrating and applying content to project	5 Academic content is explained thoroughly and full method of integrating and applying content to project is shared	
Evidence of Technology Used 0–10 points	0 No technology used in project	1–2 Technology used to develop project but not explained	3–4 Technology used but not explained in portfolio	5–6 Technology and techniques used to develop project are explained	7–8 Technology used to develop project was explained and addresses a Family and Consumer Sciences concern	9–10 Technology used to develop project addresses a concern related to Family and Consumer Sciences and materials were developed to document project	
Works Cited/Bibliography 0–3 points	0 No resources listed	1 Incomplete list of resources/resources listed are not current or appropriate for project	2 Complete list of resources but inconsistent format	3 Complete alphabetical list of appropriate resources, in a consistent format			
Appearance 0–3 points	0 Portfolio is illegible and unorganized	1 Portfolio is neat, but contains grammatical or spelling errors and is organized poorly	2 Portfolio is neat, legible, and professional, with correct grammar and spelling	3 Neat, legible, and professional, correct grammar and spelling used; effective organization of information			

Applied Technology Rubric (continued)

ORAL PRESENTATION							Points
Organization/Delivery 0–10 points	0 Presentation is not done or speaks briefly and does not cover components of the project	1–2 Presentation covers some topic elements	3–4 Presentation covers all topic elements but with minimal information	5–6 Presentation gives complete information but does not explain the use of technology well	7–8 Presentation covers information completely and explains use of technology fully	9–10 Presentation covers all relevant information completely and explains technology use with a seamless and logical delivery	
Knowledge of Subject Matter 0–5 points	0 Little or no evidence of knowledge	1 Minimal evidence of knowledge	2 Some evidence of knowledge	3 Knowledge of subject matter is evident but not shared in presentation	4 Knowledge of subject matter is evident and shared at times in the presentation	5 Knowledge of subject matter is evident and incorporated throughout the presentation	
Use of Portfolio and Visuals during Presentation 0–5 points	0 Portfolio and visuals are not used during presentation	1 Portfolio and visuals used to limit amount of speaking time	2 Portfolio and visuals used minimally during presentation	3 Portfolio and visuals incorporated throughout presentation	4 Portfolio and visuals used effectively throughout presentation	5 Presentation moves seamlessly between oral presentation, portfolio, and visuals	
Voice—pitch, tempo, volume 0–3 points	0 No voice qualities are used effectively	1 Voice quality is adequate	2 Voice quality is good, but could improve	3 Voice quality is outstanding and pleasing to listen to			
Body Language/Clothing Choice 0–3 points	0 Body language shows nervousness and unease/inappropriate clothing	1 Body language shows minimal amount of nervousness/clothing is appropriate	2 Body language is good and clothing is professional	3 Body language and clothing choice both enhance the presentation			
Grammar/Word Usage/Pronunciation 0–3 points	0 Extensive (more than 5) grammatical and pronunciation errors	1 Some (3–5) grammatical and pronunciation errors	2 Few (1–2) grammatical and pronunciation errors	3 Presentation has no grammatical or pronunciation errors			
Responses to Evaluators' Questions 0–5 points	0 Did not answer evaluators' questions	1 Unable to answer some questions	2 Responded to all questions, but without ease or accuracy	3 Responded adequately to all questions	4 Gave appropriate responses to evaluators' questions	5 Responses to questions were appropriate and given without hesitation	

Evaluator's Comments:

TOTAL
(90 points possible)

Evaluator Initial _____

Room Consultant Initial _____